

---

# **A Multidisciplinary Introduction to Desalination**

---

# RIVER PUBLISHERS SERIES IN EARTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

---

*Series Editors*

**Medani P. Bhandari**  
*Atlantic State Legal Foundation  
New York, USA*

**Hanna Shvindina**  
*Sumy State University  
Ukraine*

**Krishna Prasad Oli**  
*Government of Nepal, Nepal  
and  
Sichuan University, China  
and  
Akamai University, Hawaii, USA*

Indexing: All books published in this series are submitted to the Web of Science Book Citation Index (BkCI), to CrossRef and to Google Scholar.

The “River Publishers Series in Earth and Environmental Sciences” is a series of comprehensive academic and professional books which focus on Earth and Environmental and Geo-Sciences. The series focuses on topics ranging from theory to policy and technology to applications.

Books published in the series include research monographs, edited volumes, handbooks and textbooks. The books provide professionals, researchers, educators, and advanced students in the field with an invaluable insight into the latest research and developments.

Topics covered in the series include, but are by no means restricted to the following:

- Sustainable Development
- Climate Change Mitigation
- Protected Area Management
- Institutional Architectures of Biodiversity Conservation
- Environmental System Monitoring and Analysis
- Migration / Immigration
- Flood Management
- Conflict Management
- Sustainability: Greening the World Economy
- Desalination and water treatment

For a list of other books in this series, visit [www.riverpublishers.com](http://www.riverpublishers.com)

---

# A Multidisciplinary Introduction to Desalination

---

**Editor**

**Alireza Bazargan**

Research and Development Manager  
Noor Vijeh Company (NVCO)

and

Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering  
K.N. Toosi University of Technology  
Tehran, Iran



**River Publishers**

*Published, sold and distributed by:*

River Publishers  
Alsbjergvej 10  
9260 Gistrup  
Denmark

River Publishers  
Lange Geer 44  
2611 PW Delft  
The Netherlands

Tel.: +45369953197  
[www.riverpublishers.com](http://www.riverpublishers.com)

ISBN: 978-87-93379-54-1 (Hardback)  
978-87-93379-53-4 (Ebook)

©2018 River Publishers

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publishers.

*Dedicated to our firstborn son, Iman, who is as old as this book.  
You are God's precious gift, and our greatest venture.*



---

# Contents

---

<b>Foreword I</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>Foreword II</b>	<b>xxv</b>
<b>Preface</b>	<b>xxvii</b>
<b>List of Contributors</b>	<b>xxxix</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xxxvii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xlix</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations</b>	<b>liii</b>

## **PART I: Introduction**

<b>1 Water Scarcity: Where We Stand</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Eric M. V. Hoek</i>	
1.1 Introduction . . . . .	3
1.2 Global Drivers and Trends . . . . .	6
1.2.1 Climate Change . . . . .	6
1.2.2 Urbanization . . . . .	6
1.2.3 Water as a Human Right . . . . .	7
1.2.4 Water and Conflict . . . . .	8
1.3 “Food–Energy–Water” Nexus . . . . .	9
1.4 Concluding Remarks . . . . .	9
References . . . . .	10

<b>2</b>	<b>Alternative Freshwater Solutions to Desalination</b>	<b>13</b>
	<i>Arjen van Nieuwenhuijzen, Jaap Klein, Cor Merks and Ebbing van Tuinen</i>	
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	13
2.1.1	Background . . . . .	14
2.1.2	Sustainable Alternatives to Desalination . . . . .	15
2.1.3	Smart and Innovative Alternatives . . . . .	16
2.2	Integrated Freshwater Management Solutions . . . . .	16
2.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	16
2.2.2	Solutions for Delta Areas and Seasonal Dry Areas in General . . . . .	17
2.2.3	Solutions for Agricultural Areas . . . . .	18
2.2.4	Solutions for Natural Reserves . . . . .	20
2.2.5	Solutions for the Built Environment . . . . .	20
2.2.6	An Integrated Solution: Freshwater Wetlands . . . . .	20
2.3	Rainwater Harvesting: Capture and Use of Rain and Storm Water . . . . .	21
2.3.1	Introduction and Principles . . . . .	21
2.3.2	Benefits and Challenges of Rainwater Harvesting . . . . .	23
2.3.3	Components of a Rainwater Harvesting System . . . . .	26
2.3.3.1	Rainwater harvesting using natural components . . . . .	27
2.3.4	Calculating the Potential of Rainwater Harvesting . . . . .	28
2.3.5	Additional Information . . . . .	30
2.4	Reclamation of Fresh Used Water (Greywater Reuse) . . . . .	31
2.4.1	Introduction . . . . .	31
2.4.2	Greywater Reuse . . . . .	31
2.4.3	Used Water Treatment Technologies . . . . .	33
2.4.3.1	Physical–chemical used treatment systems . . . . .	33
2.4.3.2	Biological used water treatment systems . . . . .	35
2.5	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	36
	References . . . . .	37
<b>3</b>	<b>Fundamentals of Desalination Technology</b>	<b>41</b>
	<i>Alireza Bazargan and Blanca Salgado</i>	
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	41
3.2	Definitions . . . . .	45



3.3	Unit Operations . . . . .	47
3.4	Desalination Technologies . . . . .	51
3.4.1	Thermal (and Evaporative) Technologies . . . . .	51
3.4.1.1	Multi-Stage Flash Distillation (MSF) . . . . .	51
3.4.1.2	Multi-Effect Distillation (MED) . . . . .	52
3.4.1.3	Thermal Vapor Compression (TVC) . . . . .	53
3.4.1.4	Mechanical Vapor Compression (MVC) . . . . .	54
3.4.1.5	Membrane Distillation (MD) . . . . .	54
3.4.1.6	Freezing . . . . .	55
3.4.2	Membrane Technologies . . . . .	55
3.4.2.1	Reverse Osmosis (RO) . . . . .	55
3.4.2.2	Forward Osmosis (FO) . . . . .	57
3.4.2.3	Electrodialysis (ED) and Electrodialysis Reversal (EDR) . . . . .	57
3.4.3	Other Technologies . . . . .	58
3.4.3.1	Hydrate Formation . . . . .	58
3.4.3.2	Ion Exchange (IX) . . . . .	58
3.4.3.3	Capacitive DeIonization (CDI) . . . . .	59
3.4.3.4	Solvent Extraction . . . . .	60
3.4.3.5	De-Humidification . . . . .	60
3.4.4	Hybrid Systems . . . . .	60
3.5	Conclusion . . . . .	61
	References . . . . .	62

**4 Water Chemistry and Desalinated Water Quality 67**

*Alireza Bazargan, Amir Jafari and Mohammad Hossein Behnoud*

4.1	Introduction . . . . .	67
4.1.1	Boron . . . . .	68
4.1.2	Bromide . . . . .	69
4.1.3	Calcium and Magnesium . . . . .	70
4.1.4	Fluoride and Other Supplements . . . . .	70
4.1.5	Organics . . . . .	72
4.1.6	Potassium and Sodium . . . . .	73
4.2	The Carbonate System . . . . .	73
4.3	Water Hardness . . . . .	76
4.4	Sodium Adsorption Ratio . . . . .	79
4.5	Acidity and Buffering Capacity . . . . .	81

4.6	Corrosivity . . . . .	82
4.7	Indexes . . . . .	85
4.8	Conclusion . . . . .	89
	References . . . . .	90

**PART II: Unit Operations**

**5 Thermal Processes 95**

*Ibrahim S. Al-Mutaz*

5.1	Introduction . . . . .	95
5.2	Multi-Effect Distillation (MED) . . . . .	96
5.2.1	Types of MED Tube Arrangements . . . . .	97
5.2.1.1	Horizontal tube arrangement . . . . .	97
5.2.1.2	Vertical tube arrangement . . . . .	98
5.2.1.3	Vertically stacked tube bundles . . . . .	98
5.2.2	Conventional MED Process . . . . .	98
5.2.3	Multi-Effect Distillation with Thermal Vapor Compression (MED-TVC) . . . . .	101
5.2.3.1	MED-TVC process description . . . . .	102
5.3	Multi-Stage Flash (MSF) . . . . .	103
5.3.1	MSF Configurations . . . . .	104
5.3.2	MSF Condenser Tube Configurations . . . . .	107
5.3.3	MSF Process Description . . . . .	109
5.4	Vapor Compression Distillation (VCD) . . . . .	110
5.4.1	Mechanical Vapor Compression (MVC) . . . . .	112
5.4.2	Thermal Vapor Compression (TVC) . . . . .	113
5.5	Other Thermal Processes . . . . .	114
5.5.1	Freezing . . . . .	114
5.5.2	Solar Desalination . . . . .	119
5.5.3	Humidification–Dehumidification . . . . .	124
5.5.4	Membrane Distillation . . . . .	126
5.5.4.1	Direct Contact Membrane Distillation (DCMD) . . . . .	127
5.5.4.2	Air Gap Membrane Distillation (AGMD) . . . . .	127
5.5.4.3	Vacuum Membrane Distillation (VMD) . . . . .	127

5.5.4.4	Sweep Gas Membrane Distillation (SGMD) . . . . .	128
5.6	Operational Experience of Thermal Desalination Processes . . . . .	130
5.6.1	Pretreatment and Scale Control . . . . .	130
5.6.2	Efficiency of Thermal Desalination Processes . . . . .	134
5.6.3	Design Experience of Large MSF and MED–TVC Plants . . . . .	135
5.6.4	Impact of Non-Condensable Gases (NCG) . . . . .	139
5.6.5	Material Selection . . . . .	142
5.6.6	Maintenance Procedures . . . . .	143
5.6.7	Evaporator Start-Up . . . . .	148
	References . . . . .	149

**6 Membrane Desalination Technologies 155**

*Dongxu Yan*

6.1	Introduction to Membrane Desalination Technologies . . . . .	155
6.2	Reverse Osmosis . . . . .	155
6.2.1	Introduction to RO Membrane Technology . . . . .	155
6.2.2	Membrane Materials . . . . .	157
6.2.3	Principles and Modeling of Membrane Systems . . . . .	159
6.2.3.1	Membrane recovery . . . . .	159
6.2.3.2	Permeate flux . . . . .	160
6.2.3.3	Mass balance . . . . .	160
6.2.3.4	Membrane permeation coefficient ( $A$ ) and salt transport coefficient ( $B$ ) . . . . .	161
6.2.3.5	Membrane rejection . . . . .	161
6.2.3.6	Trans-Membrane Pressure (TMP) . . . . .	161
6.2.3.7	Net Driving Pressure (NDP) . . . . .	162
6.2.3.8	Osmotic pressure . . . . .	162
6.2.3.9	Langelier Saturation Index (LSI) . . . . .	162
6.2.3.10	Silt Density Index (SDI) . . . . .	163
6.2.4	RO Separation System Design . . . . .	164
6.2.5	Restrictions of Membrane Application in Desalination . . . . .	167
6.2.6	Concentration Polarization in Membrane Desalination . . . . .	169
6.2.7	RO Membrane Pretreatment . . . . .	171
6.2.8	RO Membrane Chemical Cleaning . . . . .	172

6.2.9	RO Projection Software . . . . .	174
6.3	Forward Osmosis (FO) . . . . .	179
6.3.1	Introduction to FO Membrane Technology . . . . .	179
6.3.2	Forward Osmosis Membranes and Modules . . . . .	181
6.3.3	Draw Solutions for the FO Process . . . . .	184
6.3.4	CP in FO Processes and FO Membrane Fouling . . . . .	184
6.3.5	Advantages and Disadvantages of the FO Process . . . . .	186
6.4	Electrodialysis (ED) and Electrodialysis Reversal (EDR) . . . . .	188
6.4.1	Introduction to ED and EDR Technologies . . . . .	188
6.4.2	ED/EDR Process Design . . . . .	189
6.4.3	ED/EDR Membranes . . . . .	191
6.4.4	Membrane Spacers . . . . .	193
6.4.5	ED/EDR Electrodes . . . . .	194
6.4.6	Comparison between ED/EDR and RO . . . . .	195
	References . . . . .	196

**7 Pretreatment 201**

*Nikolay Voutchkov*

7.1	Introduction . . . . .	201
7.2	Overview of Granular Media Filtration Technologies . . . . .	204
7.3	Seawater Conditioning Prior to Granular Filtration . . . . .	207
7.4	Seawater Pretreatment Prior to Filtration . . . . .	210
7.4.1	Sedimentation . . . . .	210
7.4.2	Dissolved Air Flotation . . . . .	211
7.5	Selection of Granular Filter Media . . . . .	215
7.6	Selection of the Type of Granular Media Filter . . . . .	216
7.6.1	Removal of Algal Material from Seawater . . . . .	218
7.6.2	Useful Life of the Filter Structure . . . . .	220
7.6.3	Solids Retention Capacity and Handling of Turbidity Spikes . . . . .	220
7.6.4	Costs . . . . .	220
7.7	Membrane Filtration Overview . . . . .	221
7.7.1	Seawater Conditioning and Pretreatment Prior to Membrane Filtration . . . . .	226
7.7.2	Considerations for Selecting Between UF and MF Pretreatment . . . . .	228
7.8	Considerations for Selecting Between Pressure and Vacuum-Driven Membrane Filtration . . . . .	229
7.8.1	Source Water Quality Variations . . . . .	229

7.8.2 Construction Costs and Energy Requirements . . . . 230  
 7.9 Lessons Learned from Existing MF/UF Systems . . . . . 230  
 7.10 Concluding Remarks . . . . . 233  
 References . . . . . 233

**8 Post-Treatment 237**

*Alireza Bazargan, Reza Mokhtari and Mohammad Shamszadeh*

8.1 Introduction . . . . . 237  
 8.2 Post-Treatment Processes . . . . . 239  
     8.2.1 Blending . . . . . 239  
     8.2.2 Direct Dosage of Chemicals . . . . . 241  
         8.2.2.1 Design Considerations for Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> + CO<sub>2</sub>  
                 Systems . . . . . 242  
     8.2.3 Limestone (Calcium Carbonate) Dissolution . . . . . 243  
     8.2.4 Dolomite Dissolution . . . . . 247  
 8.3 Disinfection . . . . . 249  
     8.3.1 The CT Value . . . . . 252  
     8.3.2 Comparison of Various Disinfection Methods . . . . . 253  
     8.3.3 Residual Disinfection . . . . . 253  
     8.3.4 Disinfectant Decay Kinetics . . . . . 258  
 8.4 Conclusion . . . . . 260  
 References . . . . . 260

**PART III: Science and Technology**

**9 The Origins of Today’s Desalination Technologies 265**

*Dr. Jim Birkett*

9.1 Background . . . . . 265  
 9.2 The First Tentative Steps . . . . . 267  
 9.3 The Appearance of an Industry in the 1800s . . . . . 271  
 9.4 The Early Twentieth Century – Evaporative  
 Advancements . . . . . 277  
 9.5 The Early Twentieth Century: Membrane Developments . . . 280  
     9.5.1 The Influence of World War II . . . . . 281  
 9.6 The 1950s . . . . . 281

9.7	The 1960s . . . . .	285
9.8	Post 1960s . . . . .	290
	References . . . . .	290
<b>10</b>	<b>Research and Development Management</b>	<b>295</b>
	<i>John Peichel and Alireza Bazargan</i>	
10.1	Introduction . . . . .	295
10.2	The Customer . . . . .	299
10.3	The Invention . . . . .	302
10.4	Patents . . . . .	304
10.5	Models . . . . .	310
10.6	Data . . . . .	312
10.7	Time . . . . .	314
10.8	The Business Aspect: Costs, Revenue, Profit . . . . .	316
10.9	Conclusion . . . . .	318
	References . . . . .	320
<b>11</b>	<b>Membrane Chemistry and Engineering</b>	<b>323</b>
	<i>Steven Jons, Abhishek Shrivastava, Ian A. Tomlinson, Mou Paul and Abhishek Roy</i>	
11.1	Introduction . . . . .	323
11.2	Membrane Processes . . . . .	325
	11.2.1 Reverse Osmosis (RO) . . . . .	326
	11.2.1.1 RO membrane made by phase inversion . . . . .	326
	11.2.1.2 RO membranes made by interfacial polymerization . . . . .	328
11.3	Nanofiltration . . . . .	330
	11.3.1 Forward Osmosis (FO) . . . . .	332
	11.3.2 Electrodialysis (ED) and Related Processes . . . . .	333
	11.3.3 Membrane Distillation (MD) . . . . .	335
	11.3.4 Microfiltration and Ultrafiltration . . . . .	336
11.4	Configurations . . . . .	337
	11.4.1 Membrane Configurations . . . . .	337
	11.4.2 Flow Path Considerations . . . . .	339
	11.4.3 Module Configurations . . . . .	341
11.5	Future Developments . . . . .	347
	References . . . . .	350

<b>12 State-of-the-Art Desalination Research</b>	<b>355</b>
<i>Seyed Hamed Aboutalebi, Alexandros Yfantis and Nikolaos Yfantis</i>	
12.1 Introduction . . . . .	355
12.2 RO Technologies . . . . .	356
12.3 Current State-of-the-Art Materials for Novel Membrane-Based Processes . . . . .	358
12.4 Forward Osmosis (FO) . . . . .	364
12.5 Aquaporin Membranes . . . . .	365
12.6 Thermal-Based Processes . . . . .	366
12.6.1 Membrane Distillation . . . . .	366
12.6.2 Pervaporation (PV) . . . . .	367
12.7 Novel Electrically-Driven Processes . . . . .	369
12.7.1 Capacitive Deionization (CDI) . . . . .	369
12.7.2 Microbial Desalination Cell (MDC) . . . . .	370
References . . . . .	377

**PART IV: Energy and Environment**

<b>13 Desalination Powered by Renewable and Nuclear Energy Sources</b>	<b>385</b>
<i>Veera Gnaneswar Gude</i>	
13.1 Desalination Technologies and Renewable Energy Coupling Schemes . . . . .	385
13.2 Global Overview . . . . .	387
13.3 Solar Energy for Desalination . . . . .	388
13.3.1 Direct Solar Desalination: Solar Stills . . . . .	390
13.3.2 Indirect Solar Desalination Using Solar Collectors . . . . .	391
13.3.3 Solar PV-RO . . . . .	393
13.4 Wind Energy for Desalination . . . . .	395
13.5 Geothermal Energy for Desalination . . . . .	398
13.6 Wave Energy for Desalination . . . . .	401
13.7 Nuclear Energy for Desalination . . . . .	404
13.7.1 Experience with Nuclear Desalination . . . . .	406
13.8 Conclusion and Selection Criteria . . . . .	408
References . . . . .	410

<b>14 Energy Consumption and Minimization</b>	<b>415</b>
<i>Konstantinos Plakas, Dimitrios Sioutopoulos and Anastasios Karabelas</i>	
14.1 Introduction . . . . .	415
14.2 Energy Issues in Desalination . . . . .	415
14.2.1 Interrelation between Water and Energy . . . . .	415
14.2.2 Energy Demand for Desalination . . . . .	417
14.3 SEC in Membrane-Based Processes . . . . .	420
14.3.1 Energy Consumption in RO Desalination . . . . .	420
14.3.2 Technological Improvements to Minimize Losses and to Increase Energy Recovery . . . . .	422
14.3.2.1 High efficiency pumps . . . . .	424
14.3.2.2 Energy recovery devices . . . . .	424
14.3.2.3 New generation membranes . . . . .	426
14.3.2.4 Plant design and operation . . . . .	428
14.3.2.5 Development of novel energy-efficient desalination technologies . . . . .	429
14.3.2.6 Utilization of renewable energies . . . . .	433
14.4 SEC in Thermal Processes . . . . .	433
14.4.1 Technological Improvements to Increase Energy Efficiency . . . . .	435
14.4.2 Dual-Purpose Power–Desalting Water Plants . . . . .	436
14.5 SEC in Hybrid Systems . . . . .	437
14.6 Renewable Energy (RE) Utilization for Water Desalination . . . . .	439
14.7 Conclusions and Future R&D . . . . .	441
References . . . . .	442
 <b>15 Brine Management</b>	 <b>453</b>
<i>Christopher Bellona</i>	
15.1 Introduction . . . . .	453
15.2 Desalination Brine/Concentrate Characteristics and Disposal . . . . .	454
15.2.1 Seawater Desalination . . . . .	455
15.2.2 Brackish Water Desalination . . . . .	456
15.2.3 Desalination for Potable Water Reuse . . . . .	457
15.3 Technologies for Brine/Concentrate Treatment . . . . .	459
15.3.1 Reverse Osmosis and Nanofiltration . . . . .	459
15.3.2 Electrodialysis and Electrodialysis Reversal . . . . .	460



15.3.3	Evaporation Ponds and Solar Evaporation . . . . .	462
15.3.4	Distillation and Evaporation Systems . . . . .	463
15.3.5	Membrane Distillation/Crystallization . . . . .	464
15.3.6	Precipitation and Crystallization . . . . .	465
15.3.7	Ion Exchange . . . . .	467
15.3.8	Other Notable Processes . . . . .	468
15.4	Implementation of Brine/Concentrate Minimization . . . . .	468
15.5	Extraction of Constituents from Brine/Concentrate . . . . .	472
15.5.1	Bromine . . . . .	472
15.5.2	Calcium . . . . .	472
15.5.3	Chlorine and Sodium Hydroxide . . . . .	473
15.5.4	Lithium . . . . .	474
15.5.5	Magnesium . . . . .	474
15.5.6	Nitrogen and Phosphorous . . . . .	476
15.5.7	Potassium . . . . .	477
15.5.8	Sodium . . . . .	478
15.5.9	Other Notable Commodities . . . . .	479
15.5.10	Economic Considerations . . . . .	480
15.6	Conclusion . . . . .	480
	References . . . . .	481

**16 Environmental Impacts of Desalination Plants 489**

*Karim Bourouni*

16.1	Introduction . . . . .	489
16.2	Health Issues . . . . .	491
16.2.1	Aesthetics and Water Stability . . . . .	491
16.2.2	Blending Waters . . . . .	492
16.2.3	Nutritionally Desirable Components . . . . .	492
16.2.4	Chemicals and Materials Used in Water Production . . . . .	492
16.3	Safety Issues . . . . .	493
16.4	Environmental Impacts . . . . .	493
16.4.1	Seawater Intakes and Pretreatment . . . . .	494
16.4.1.1	Intakes . . . . .	494
16.4.1.2	Pretreatment . . . . .	496
16.4.2	Reject Streams and Outfalls (Impact of Brine Discharge) . . . . .	497
16.4.2.1	Salinity . . . . .	504
16.4.2.2	Temperature . . . . .	505

16.4.2.3	Antifouling additives . . . . .	506
16.4.2.4	Residual biocides . . . . .	506
16.4.2.5	Coagulants . . . . .	508
16.4.2.6	Antiscalants . . . . .	508
16.4.2.7	Metals . . . . .	509
16.4.2.8	Antifoaming agents (Thermal plants only) . . . . .	511
16.4.2.9	Cleaning chemicals . . . . .	511
16.4.3	Air Quality Impacts . . . . .	512
16.5	Mitigating the Impact of Desalination on the Environment . . . . .	513
16.5.1	Source Water Intake . . . . .	513
16.5.2	Reject Streams . . . . .	514
16.5.3	Energy Use . . . . .	515
16.5.4	Site Selection for Impact Mitigation . . . . .	516
16.6	Avoiding Possible Disturbances . . . . .	516
16.7	Life Cycle Assessment of Desalination Technologies . . . . .	517
16.7.1	LCA Methodology . . . . .	517
16.7.1.1	Phase 1: Goal and scope definition . . . . .	518
16.7.1.2	Phase 2: Life cycle inventory . . . . .	519
16.7.1.3	Phase 3: Life cycle impact assessment . . . . .	519
16.7.1.4	Phase 4: Interpretation . . . . .	519
16.7.2	Main Results from Desalination LCA Studies . . . . .	519
16.8	Conclusions . . . . .	520
	References . . . . .	526

**PART V: Social and Commercial Issues**

<b>17</b>	<b>Rural Desalination</b>	<b>531</b>
	<i>Leila Karimi and Abbas Ghassemi</i>	
17.1	Introduction . . . . .	531
17.2	Factors that Affect the Success of Rural Desalination Systems . . . . .	534
17.2.1	Water Resources . . . . .	535
17.2.2	Water Needs . . . . .	535
17.2.3	Energy Sources . . . . .	536
17.2.4	Technological Factors . . . . .	539

17.2.5	Social Factors . . . . .	542
17.2.5.1	Community involvement . . . . .	542
17.2.5.2	Institutions and social power . . . . .	544
17.2.5.3	Cultural issues . . . . .	544
17.2.5.4	Gender issues . . . . .	545
17.2.5.5	Religious issues . . . . .	547
17.2.6	Economic Aspects . . . . .	548
17.3	Case Studies . . . . .	550
17.3.1	Case Study of an Unsuccessful Project: Solar Stills on the Greek Island of Kimolos . . . . .	550
17.3.1.1	Case study of a successful project: Photovoltaic reverse osmosis in Ksar Ghilène, Tunisia . . . . .	551
	References . . . . .	553

**18 Society, Politics, and Desalination 559**

*David Zetland*

18.1	Introduction . . . . .	559
18.2	Separating and Mixing Water . . . . .	560
18.2.1	Political Groups and Separate Waters . . . . .	561
18.3	Social Groups and Collective Costs and Benefits . . . . .	563
18.4	Society, Politics, and Technology . . . . .	564
18.5	Examples of Harmful and Helpful Desalination . . . . .	565
18.5.1	Israel and Singapore . . . . .	565
18.5.2	San Diego and Monterey . . . . .	568
18.5.3	Saudi Arabia and United Arab Emirates . . . . .	569
18.6	Desalination without Regret . . . . .	570
	References . . . . .	571

**19 Desalination Costs and Economic Feasibility 575**

*Jadwiga R. Ziolkowska*

19.1	Introduction . . . . .	575
19.2	Definition and Breakdown of Desalination Costs . . . . .	577
19.2.1	Capital Costs . . . . .	577
19.2.2	Operational and Maintenance Costs . . . . .	580
19.2.2.1	Disposal costs . . . . .	582
19.2.2.2	Energy costs . . . . .	583

19.3 Determining the Final Cost and Price of Desalinated Water . . . . . 585

19.4 Predictions about Future Desalination Costs . . . . . 591

References . . . . . 592

**20 The Business of Desalination 597**

*Tom Scotney and Simeon Pinder*

20.1 Introduction . . . . . 597

20.2 Key Markets . . . . . 602

20.2.1 The Middle East and North Africa (MENA) . . . . . 604

20.2.2 Europe . . . . . 605

20.2.3 Americas . . . . . 606

20.2.4 Asia Pacific . . . . . 606

20.2.5 Sub-Saharan Africa . . . . . 607

20.3 Technology and Energy . . . . . 608

20.3.1 Equipment and Innovation . . . . . 610

20.4 Finance and Companies . . . . . 611

20.4.1 Desalination Companies . . . . . 614

20.4.2 Finance . . . . . 615

20.5 Looking Forward . . . . . 616

References . . . . . 642

**Index 645**

**About the Editor 659**

---

## Foreword I

---

**Emilio Gabbrielli<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>President of the International Desalination Association (IDA)

It is always a great honor to be invited to write the Foreword to an ambitious volume like this one, but it is also a greater responsibility as it needs to set the scene for the reader, and has to be an inspiring piece. Having reflected on the task at hand, on the “what’s” and “why’s” of this book, I feel that the task of writing a foreword should not be hard at all, because desalination *is* itself inspiring. Desalination benefits mankind, and its importance in a world of increasing population can only increase. Let us see if you share my view.

Desalination is one of the oldest processes known to mankind and has been used since antiquity. Its practice based on simple evaporation followed by condensation became systematic with applications aboard ships with the advent of the trans-oceanic voyages of the Europeans in the XVI Century. In the second half of the XIX Century, several industrial manufactures appeared, and desalination units based on evaporation then started to be used on land all over the world.

Its use has kept growing since then, introducing technologies based on different principles, in particular reverse osmosis, to nearly 100 million m<sup>3</sup>/day of installed capacity at the time I write this. This is a lot of fresh water, something like 20 times the average flow rate of the river Thames.

When looking at the well-known graph of installed capacity growth over the last 40 years, it is quite easy to notice that overall installed capacity was growing at a more or less constant rate until 1995. Its growth sped up dramatically through the start of the new millennium, until 2005, when growth once again continued at a rather constant rate, but this time twice as fast as in the period pre-1995.

The increase was definitely driven by the increased scarcity of fresh water resources everywhere, but what made it possible was the dramatic decline in the unit cost of desalination by reverse osmosis from 1995 to 2005. This occurred mainly thanks to the development of energy recovery devices, which

basically cut in half the energy needed to desalinate. The cost for desalting sea water fell to an average reference figure of 1 US\$/m<sup>3</sup> all included. This is a value in many cases competitive or cheaper than treating traditional sources of poor quality water or transferring water from increasingly distant places to quench the thirst of ever increasing urban populations.

It means that in the new millennium, desalination has become a mainstream water supply alternative, and this includes reuse. As such, it should always be routinely considered in medium/long term planning of fresh water resources. The relevance of desalination technologies has also been enhanced by climate change, because it relies on a non-traditional, always available, water source like the sea, or effluent from waste water treatment plants in the case of reuse.

Although the availability of reliable fresh water supplies is crucial to society, many of the applications of desalination being considered today would have been simply economically and environmentally non-viable just 20 years ago, when the energy for producing fresh water with reverse osmosis was still 2 to 3 times the present value.

This is why the world has to be thankful to the Middle East, Northern Africa, the Caribbean and elsewhere, which took on the higher costs of desalination and adopted desalination on a large scale from the 1970s onwards. The early adopters in these regions showed the way, and allowed the further development and dramatic decline in the cost of the technology, making it affordable to other countries.

As a matter of fact, the application of desalination in more than three quarters of the world's countries shows that it has definitely become more widely affordable. In the coming years, it will become more and more part of normal water supplies around the world, both in potable and industrial water applications, and increasingly in agricultural applications as well.

The full potential and associated benefits of desalination have yet to be fully realized because there is still a misconception that desalination technologies are too expensive and not generally affordable. This cannot be surprising, as the lowering of unit costs happened so fast, in a decade or even less. Still, the reality is that desalination and reuse technologies are here to stay as crucial water supply alternatives and potential key contributors to sustainable growth and our planet's well-being. This realization is becoming more widespread and as a result, society and government officials around the world are recognizing its value more broadly.

With this backdrop, an ambitious volume like this one could not come at a more appropriate moment, when more and more people are becoming

interested and want to refer to the principles and features of desalination technologies. At the same time, one might ask for how long this book will remain up-to-date and a useful reference for those involved in desalination technology, given the speed with which scientific developments and advancements in technology occur.

In my view, the answer is easy: for a long time. The basic principles of desalination have not changed for centuries; even osmosis was already known in the XVIII Century. In terms of large installations, it is easy to forecast that RO will remain the primary desalination technology for a long time, with MED/MSF continuing their application in co-generation applications in the Middle East.

As this book proves, these technologies are all established, well understood and reliable. However, with the thirst of the world increasing and problems of scarcity widening, research for cheaper and more effective ways to desalt water is, and will continue, to increase.

In due course, a real breakthrough will happen and a much cheaper way to desalinate water will be found, possibly with some totally new technology: all of this for the best of the world. Mankind needs it! However, before any new way becomes commercially available and useful for large applications, several years will pass, probably decades, during which time the core content of this volume will remain an up-to-date reference to the mainstream technologies.

Moreover, a successful desalination project does not rely just on the plant and its economics; it has to rely on several associated technologies and chemistry fundamentals plus a cohesive approach to the social, political and environmental aspects, all of which are duly covered within the multidisciplinary approach that Dr. Bazargan has envisaged for this book. As no deeper understanding of the present can ever be reached without the perspective of where we are coming from, it is good that the origins of desalination are also given due attention.

It is increasingly evident that desalination and reuse will play a more and more important role in securing our future. Many have said and are still saying that future wars will be fought over water and not oil. In a world of increasing belligerent actions and words, the technologies covered by this volume are definitely going in the opposite direction of peaceful co-existence. This because their ultimate goal and potential is to guarantee plentiful affordable quantities of fresh water for all from non-traditional sources like the sea, which are practically unlimited.





---

## Foreword II

---

Neil Palmer<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Water Discipline Principal, Tonkin Consulting, Adelaide, Australia

<sup>2</sup>Former Chief Executive Officer, National Centre of Excellence in Desalination, Australia

<sup>3</sup>World's most influential water leader (2015), Water & Wastewater International

Water touches everyone.

This indispensable part of each of our lives, from intimate personal use to keeping our great industries running, is rarely appreciated until it becomes scarce. But the notion of water scarcity is a conundrum when we consider water covers seventy percent of our Earth's surface.

But almost all of it is salty.

So this monumental volume *A Multidisciplinary Introduction to Desalination* provides a scholarly and comprehensive starting point to the art and science of man's attempts to make fresh water from salt water.

But wait – doesn't *all* our fresh water come from natural desalination powered by renewable energy?

On 6 June 2016, the Australian Bureau of Meteorology recorded that more than 5 mm of rain had fallen on a third of the Australian continent the previous day, some 2 million square kilometres. 10,000 gigalitres were desalinated by natural evaporation of seawater from the warmth of the sun, transported by the wind and distributed evenly over a massive land area.

To obtain perspective, this is enough to supply the 4 million people who live in Sydney for 17 years. From one day's weather. There is much, it would seem, we can learn from the principles of nature.

This book explores desalination from its earliest history and delves into politics, economics, technology and practicalities. Desalination offers hope to those parts of the world where water is scarce or unreliable. From serious development of artificial desalination in the 1950s, people are working out how to emulate nature in supplying affordable and sustainable fresh water from our abundant impaired water sources. We have seen significant improvement of desalination techniques using new materials to separate salt from water and more efficient pre-treatment and pumping systems along with new ways of using energy from the wind, the sun and nuclear power.

This book contains sufficient easily readable detail to satisfy not only the engineers and scientists who research, design and build desalination infrastructure, but also students, community leaders and policy makers.

We have come 60 years since the first seriously large thermal desalination plants were built in the Caribbean and the Middle East, and the very first reverse osmosis membranes were produced.

Given the relentless pursuit of continuous improvement, we can only wonder with a sense of anticipation what the next 60 years will bring.

---

## Preface

---

In the summer of 2015, Mark de Jongh, the man who would later become my publisher, posed a simple question: would I be interested in putting together a book on desalination? It was a simple-enough question, but for a person who doesn't commit to something unless he's sure he can do it right, I needed time to think. Although I had previously published my research in reputable academic journals, and had written a hefty dissertation during my PhD, this would undoubtedly be my biggest academic undertaking to date. Now, exactly two years and hundreds of hours of hard work later, I am glad I said yes.

This book, as its name implies, is aimed at giving an overall review of the entire desalination ecosystem; this means that in addition to the technological aspect which is an inseparable part of any introductory book on desalination, it also includes topics which more often than not are missing in our understanding of the bigger picture. Hence, in the process of choosing authors and chapter topics, I have methodically tried to include an assortment of what I think is relevant. The scope of topics covered in the book will hopefully allow for any reader to obtain a well-balanced understanding of what desalination is all about. I personally believe that the contributors have provided ample rich insight which allows this volume to effectively function as a textbook, both at the undergraduate and postgraduate levels. Of course, the list of chapters is not exhaustive, and there is always more that could have been said.

In the process of writing this book, I am proud to have met and collaborated with some of the world's most renowned experts. Most notably, I would like to thank Dr. Jim Birkett, who with his blessing and contribution of a chapter, really helped propel the project to a world-class undertaking. For newcomers to the field who might not know him, Jim is considered one of the desalination industry's most respected professionals, with more than four decades of experience under his belt. Most importantly, he was the first elected President of the International Desalination Association (IDA), and later served as Treasurer and Director for many years, helping shape the entire

modern desalination industry as we know it. As others have put it, he is the desalination industry's "de facto historian".

I would also like to take this opportunity to unreservedly thank two of the industry's giants who have written forewords for the book: Emilio Gabbrielli who is the current President of the IDA as well as the Director of Overseas Business Development for Toray; and Dr. Neil Palmer, the former CEO of the National Centre of Excellence in Desalination Australia, who was designated as the most influential leader in the water industry by Water & Wastewater International in 2015, and again a top candidate in 2017. It is truly an honor for me to have my work validated by these two gentlemen.

In addition, I wish to thank all the chapter authors who have kindly contributed to this book. I am proud of each and every one of their contributions, and say to them: "I am sorry if I pushed you too hard, but I'm sure you would agree that it has all paid off."

During these two years, I have personally reviewed all chapters several times and -with the help of external reviewers- have made the necessary modifications. Some chapters have gone through extensive editing and are indistinguishable from the original submitted manuscript, while others have remained virtually unchanged. I have tried to ensure both the scientific rigor and correctness of the language. As an example, the body of water located at the heart of the Middle East is referred to as the "Persian Gulf" as advised by the United Nations and the International Hydrographic Organization; and fabricated and false names such as the "Arabian Gulf" or merely "The Gulf" have been avoided.

It should also be noted that although as editor I am responsible for the factual correctness of the material within the book, but, the responsibility of proper citations and copyright issues are outside my domain of accountability, and lie with the respective chapter authors.

The list of external reviewers who have helped peer-review the chapters are as follows:

- Adil Bushnak  
Chairman and CEO of Bushnak Group, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
  
- Steward Burn  
Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO), Australia

- Flavio Manenti  
The Chemistry, Material and Chemical Engineering Department,  
Politecnico di Milano, Italy
- Shadi Wajih Hasan  
Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Masdar Insti-  
tute of Science and Technology, United Arab Emirates
- Toufic Mezher  
Department of Engineering Systems and Management, Masdar Institute  
of Science and Technology, United Arab Emirates
- Arun Subramani  
Water Reclamation, Chesapeake Energy, United States of America
- Kim Choon Ng  
Environmental Science and Engineering Department, King Abdullah  
University of Science and Technology, Saudi Arabia
- Wang Meng  
College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Ocean University of  
China, China

In the end, I would like to thank Noor Vjeh Company (NVCO), Iran's most reputable investor, designer, builder and operator of reverse osmosis desalination plants. With more PPP desalination projects than any other private company in Iran, NVCO was kind enough to provide me with the resources I needed to undertake this project, and collaborate with the acclaimed experts who have helped write this book.

Sincerely,

Dr. Alireza Bazargan

June 2017

[www.environ.ir](http://www.environ.ir)  
[info@environ.ir](mailto:info@environ.ir)



---

## List of Contributors

---

**Abbas Ghassemi**, *Institute for Energy and the Environment, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico*  
E-mail: aghassem@ad.nmsu.edu

**Abhishek Roy**, *The Dow Chemical Company, 5400 Dewey Hill Road, Edina, MN 55439*  
E-mail: alroy@dow.com

**Abhishek Shrivastava**, *The Dow Chemical Company, 5400 Dewey Hill Road, Edina, MN 55439*  
E-mail: ashrivastava@dow.com

**Alexandros Yfantis**, *Sychem Advanced Water Technologies, 518 Mesogeion Av., 153 42 Agia Paraskevi, Athens, Greece*  
E-mail: info@sychem.gr

**Alireza Bazargan**, *1. Research and Development Manager and Business Development Advisor, Noor Vijeh Company (NVCO), No. 1 Bahar Alley, Hedayat Street, Darrous, Tehran, Iran*  
*2. Environmental Engineering group, Civil Engineering Department, K.N. Toosi University of Technology, Tehran, Iran*  
E-mail: info@environ.ir

**Amir Jafari**, *Project Manager, Noor Vijeh Company (NVCO), No. 1 Bahar Alley, Hedayat Street, Darrous, Tehran, Iran*  
E-mail: a.jafari@nvco.org

**Anastasios Karabelas**, *Laboratory of Natural Resources and Renewable Energies, Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute, Centre for Research and Technology – Hellas, 6th km Charilaou-Thermi, P.O. Box 60361, GR-57001, Thermi-Thessaloniki, Greece*  
E-mail: karabaj@cperi.certh.gr

**Arjen van Nieuwenhuijzen**, *Chief Technology Officer Energy, Water and Resources, Witteveen+Bos Consulting Engineers, PO Box 12205, 1100 AE Amsterdam, The Netherlands*  
*E-mail: arjen.van.nieuwenhuijzen@witteveenbos.com*

**Blanca Salgado**, *Senior Technical Service and Development, Dow Water and Process Solutions, 3 Avenue Jules Rimet, 93631 La Plaine Saint Denis cedex, France*  
*E-mail: bsalgado@dow.com*

**Christopher Bellona**, *Colorado School of Mines, Golden, CO, USA*  
*E-mail: cbellona@mines.edu*

**Cor Merks**, *Senior Expert Drinking Water, Witteveen+Bos Consulting Engineers, PO Box 233, 7400 AE Deventer, The Netherlands*  
*E-mail: cor.merks@witteveenbos.com*

**David Zetland**, *Leiden University College, The Hague, The Netherlands*  
*E-mail: d.j.zetland@luc.leidenuniv.nl*

**Dimitrios Sioutopoulos**, *Laboratory of Natural Resources and Renewable Energies, Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute, Centre for Research and Technology – Hellas, 6th km Charilaou-Thermi, P.O. Box 60361, GR-57001, Thermi-Thessaloniki, Greece*  
*E-mail: sioutop@cperi.certh.gr*

**Dongxu Yan**, *Senior engineer, Layne Christensen Company, 1138 North Alma School Road, Suite 207, Mesa, Arizona, USA 85201*  
*E-mail: Dongxu.Yan@layne.com*

**Ebbing van Tuinen**, *Senior Expert Fresh Water Management, Witteveen+Bos Consulting Engineers, PO Box 233, 7400 AE Deventer, The Netherlands*  
*E-mail: ebbing.van.tuinen@witteveenbos.com*

**Eric M. V. Hoek**, *1. Founder and Chief Executive Officer, Water Planet Inc., 8915 La Cienega Blvd., Unit C, Los Angeles, California, 90301, USA*  
*2. Professor of Environmental Engineering, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California Los Angeles (UCLA), CA 90095-1593*  
*E-mail: eric@waterplanet.com*



**Ian A. Tomlinson**, *The Dow Chemical Company, 1821 Larkin Center Drive, Midland, MI 48674*  
E-mail: [iatomlinson@dow.com](mailto:iatomlinson@dow.com)

**Ibrahim S. Al-Mutaz**, *Chemical Engineering Department, College of Engineering, King Saud University, P. O. Box 800, Riyadh 11421, Saudi Arabia*  
E-mail: [almutaz@ksu.edu.sa](mailto:almutaz@ksu.edu.sa)

**Jaap Klein**, *Group Leader Urban Water Management, Witteveen+Bos Consulting Engineers, PO Box 233, 7400 AE Deventer, The Netherlands*  
E-mail: [jaar.klein@witteveenbos.com](mailto:jaar.klein@witteveenbos.com)

**Jadwiga R. Ziolkowska**, *Department of Geography and Environmental Sustainability, The University of Oklahoma, 100 E. Boyd St., Norman, OK 73019-1018, USA*  
E-mail: [jziolkowska@ou.edu](mailto:jziolkowska@ou.edu)

**Jim Birkett**, *West Neck Strategies, 556 W Neck Rd, Nobleboro, ME, USA*  
Email: [westneck@aol.com](mailto:westneck@aol.com)

**John Peichel**, *Global Technology Leader, GE Water and Process Technologies, 5951 Clearwater Drive, Minnetonka, MN, USA*  
E-mail: [john.peichel@ge.com](mailto:john.peichel@ge.com)

**Karim Bourouni**, *Faculty of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Mechanical and Industrial Engineering Department, ALHOSN University, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates*  
E-mail: [k.bourouni@alhosnu.ae](mailto:k.bourouni@alhosnu.ae)

**Konstantinos Plakas**, *Laboratory of Natural Resources and Renewable Energies, Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute, Centre for Research and Technology – Hellas, 6th km Charilaou-Thermi, P.O. Box 60361, GR-57001, Thermi-Thessaloniki, Greece*  
E-mail: [kplakas@cperi.certh.gr](mailto:kplakas@cperi.certh.gr)

**Leila Karimi**, *Institute for Energy and the Environment, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico*  
E-mail: [lkarimi@nmsu.edu](mailto:lkarimi@nmsu.edu);

**Mohammad Hossein Behnoud**, *Technical and Executive Deputy, Noor Vijeh Company (NVCO), No. 1 Bahar Alley, Hedayat Street, Darrous, Tehran, Iran*

*E-mail: hbehnoud@nvco.org*

**Mohammad Shamszadeh**, *Member of the Board, Noor Vijeh Company (NVCO), No. 1 Bahar Alley, Hedayat Street, Darrous, Tehran, Iran*

*E-mail: m.shamszadeh@nvco.org*

**Mou Paul**, *The Dow Chemical Company, 5400 Dewey Hill Road, Edina, MN 55439*

*E-mail: mpaul@dow.com*

**Nikolaos Yfantis**, *Sychem Advanced Water Technologies, 518 Mesogeion Av., 153 42 Agia Paraskevi, Athens, Greece*

*E-mail: info@sychem.gr*

**Nikolay Voutchkov**, *Managing Director, Water Globe Consultants, LLC, 824 Contravest Lane, Winter Springs, FL 32708, USA*

*E-mail: nvoutchkov@water-g.com*

**Reza Mokhtari**, *Operations Manager, Noor Vijeh Company (NVCO), No. 1 Bahar Alley, Hedayat Street, Darrous, Tehran, Iran*

*E-mail: r.mokhtari@nvco.org*

**Seyed Hamed Aboutalebi**, *Condensed Matter National Laboratory, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences, 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran*

*E-mail: hamedaboutalebi@ipm.ir*

**Simeon Pinder**, *Co-founder, GMR Data Ltd, 2 Ardbeg Park, Artane, Dublin 5, Ireland*

*E-mail: simeon.pinder@gmrdata.com*

**Steven Jons**, *The Dow Chemical Company, 5400 Dewey Hill Road, Edina, MN 55439*

*E-mail: stevejons@dow.com*

**Tom Scotney**, *Deputy editor, Global Water Intelligence, Suite C, Kingsmead House, Oxpens Road, Oxford OX1 1XX, United Kingdom*  
*E-mail: ts@globalwaterintel.com*

**Veera Gnaneswar Gude**, *Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS 39762, USA*  
*E-mail: gude@cee.msstate.edu*



---

## List of Figures

---

<b>Figure 1.1</b>	Spheres representing all of Earth’s water (large sphere), Earth’s freshwater including deep groundwater (middle sphere), and the freshwater available in lakes and rivers for human use (small dot). . . . .	4
<b>Figure 2.1</b>	Relative groundwater travel times in the subsurface. . . . .	17
<b>Figure 2.2</b>	The delta project water systems in the Netherlands. . . . .	19
<b>Figure 2.3</b>	Seasonal variation in freshwater wetlands. . . . .	22
<b>Figure 2.4</b>	Example of a multipurpose (rain) water storage in densely populated areas, Rotterdam. . . . .	23
<b>Figure 2.5</b>	Relation between storage volume and availability of water (or drinking water savings). . . . .	25
<b>Figure 2.6</b>	Rainwater harvesting system at house level. . . . .	26
<b>Figure 2.7</b>	Schematic of a watershed. . . . .	28
<b>Figure 2.8</b>	Daily precipitation during 2013, 2014, and 2015. The horizontal axis is the day number, and the vertical axis is the daily precipitation in mm. . . . .	29
<b>Figure 2.9</b>	Calculated run off during May 2014. (red: calculated with 0.5 mm loss; blue calculated with a run off coefficient of 0.8). The horizontal axis is the day number, and the vertical axis is the flow, liters/day. . . . .	30
<b>Figure 2.10</b>	Water use in a single-family home. . . . .	32
<b>Figure 3.1</b>	Excretions from the salt glands of a sea turtle giving the impression of “crying”. . . . .	43
<b>Figure 3.2</b>	Annual mean sea surface salinity from the World Ocean Atlas 2009, plotted using the MATLAB software. The Practical Salinity Unit (PSU) defines salinity in terms of a conductivity ratio, and is dimensionless. By definition, a water sample with	

	a Practical Salinity of 35 has the same conductivity as a potassium chloride (KCl) solution containing 32.4356 g of KCl per kilogram of solution, at 15°C and 1 atmosphere pressure. . . . .	44
<b>Figure 3.3</b>	The proportion of salt to water ( <i>top</i> ) and the salt constituents ( <i>bottom</i> ) for a sample with a salinity of approximately 4% (the sample was taken off the coast of the Persian Gulf near NVCO’s plant in Bandar Abbas, Iran). Note that the values presented here are ‘typical’ and will vary with time and location. . . . .	45
<b>Figure 3.4</b>	The unit operations of a desalination plant. . . . .	47
<b>Figure 3.5</b>	Classification of desalination technologies. The green boxes denote the major technologies that are currently used on a wide commercial scale. Reverse Osmosis (RO), Multi-Stage Flash (MSF), and Multi-Effect Distillation (MED) make up 94–95% of the world’s current desalination capacity, with RO leading the way. . . . .	50
<b>Figure 3.6</b>	A possible configuration for a RO–MSF hybrid system, with NF. . . . .	61
<b>Figure 4.1</b>	Percentage of the Australian population receiving optimally fluoridated water (2012). Green areas: 91–100% of the population; yellow areas: 80–90% of the population; red areas: less than 80% of the population. . . . .	71
<b>Figure 4.2</b>	The relation between the pH and the concentration of species within the carbonate system (desalinated water, room temperature). . . . .	76
<b>Figure 4.3</b>	The influence of pH and alkalinity on buffering capacity. . . . .	82
<b>Figure 4.4</b>	Corrosion of metallic iron in contact with water. Note that in the presence of oxygen, an alternative cathodic reaction is possible: $\frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2(\text{g}) + 2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \longrightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{l})$ . . . . .	84
<b>Figure 4.5</b>	The interpretation of LSI values. Negative values indicate corrosiveness, while positive values indicate a tendency for calcium carbonate precipitation. Waters with LSI values between –0.5 and +0.5	

	are generally considered balanced (more stringently between $-0.2$ and $+0.2$ ). From a corrosion-control perspective, the optimum LSI value should be slightly positive. . . . .	87
<b>Figure 4.6</b>	(a) Calcium carbonate precipitation, CCPP and Langelier Saturation Index (LSI) meaningfully greater than zero; (b) Calcium carbonate dissolution and damage to the pipes, CCPP and LSI meaningfully less than zero. . . . .	88
<b>Figure 5.1</b>	MED horizontal tube arrangement. . . . .	97
<b>Figure 5.2</b>	MED vertical tube bundle arrangement. . . . .	98
<b>Figure 5.3</b>	MED vertically stacked tube bundles. . . . .	99
<b>Figure 5.4</b>	Schematic of the forward feed MED configuration. . . . .	100
<b>Figure 5.5</b>	Schematic of the backward feed MED configuration. . . . .	100
<b>Figure 5.6</b>	Schematic of the parallel/cross feed MED configuration. . . . .	100
<b>Figure 5.7</b>	Schematic of a MED–TVC process with n number of evaporators. . . . .	102
<b>Figure 5.8</b>	Once-through MSF process. . . . .	105
<b>Figure 5.9</b>	Brine recirculation MSF process. . . . .	105
<b>Figure 5.10</b>	Vertical tube configuration. . . . .	107
<b>Figure 5.11</b>	Cross-tube configuration. . . . .	108
<b>Figure 5.12</b>	Long-tube configuration. . . . .	108
<b>Figure 5.13</b>	Temperature-entropy diagram of the VCD process. . . . .	111
<b>Figure 5.14</b>	Mechanical vapor compression (MVC). . . . .	113
<b>Figure 5.15</b>	Thermal vapor compression (TVC). . . . .	114
<b>Figure 5.16</b>	The vacuum-freeze vapor-compression method. . .	116
<b>Figure 5.17</b>	The secondary refrigerant method using isobutene. . . . .	117
<b>Figure 5.18</b>	An indirect freezing process. . . . .	118
<b>Figure 5.19</b>	Distribution of the solar energy falling on a double slope symmetrical basin still. . . . .	120
<b>Figure 5.20</b>	Diagrams of various solar stills: (a) double-basin solar still, (b) single-basin solar still coupled with flat plate collector, (c) multi-steps tilted solar still, and (d) micro-solar still. . . . .	122

<b>Figure 5.21</b>	Solar concentrating systems, (a) parabolic trough, (b) Fresnel lenses, (c) dish engine, and (d) power tower. . . . .	123
<b>Figure 5.22</b>	Humidification–dehumidification systems: (a) open-water closed-air cycle, and (b) open-air closed-water cycle. . . . .	125
<b>Figure 5.23</b>	The simplest humidification–dehumidification process. . . . .	126
<b>Figure 5.24</b>	Membrane distillation process configurations. . . . .	128
<b>Figure 5.25</b>	A simplified diagram of the DCMD process. . . . .	129
<b>Figure 5.26</b>	Percentage distribution of alkaline scale forms as a function of temperature. . . . .	131
<b>Figure 5.27</b>	Phase diagram of $\text{CaSO}_4$ . . . . .	132
<b>Figure 5.28</b>	Yanbu Project flow diagram with overall mass and energy balances. . . . .	139
<b>Figure 5.29</b>	Desalination general start up sequence. . . . .	148
<b>Figure 6.1</b>	Conceptual diagram of osmosis, osmotic pressure and reverse osmosis (RO). . . . .	157
<b>Figure 6.2</b>	Hydraulic schematic of the typical SDI measurement. . . . .	163
<b>Figure 6.3</b>	The setup of an RO array: 4 pressure vessels $\times$ 3 membrane elements. . . . .	165
<b>Figure 6.4</b>	Schematic flow diagram of a two pass RO system. . . . .	166
<b>Figure 6.5</b>	Schematic diagram of a typical two-stage RO system. . . . .	166
<b>Figure 6.6</b>	SEM image of clay fouled RO membrane (a) and virgin membrane (b). . . . .	168
<b>Figure 6.7</b>	SEM image of scaled membrane surface. . . . .	168
<b>Figure 6.8</b>	Predicted and “measured” values for the CP index as a function of spacer thickness using the developed model. . . . .	170
<b>Figure 6.9</b>	RO membrane cleaning setup. . . . .	173
<b>Figure 6.10</b>	(a) The setup window of the IMSDesign program. . . . .	175
<b>Figure 6.10</b>	(b) The setup window of the IMSDesign program. . . . .	176
<b>Figure 6.10</b>	(c) Analysis screen in the IMSDesign program. . . . .	176
<b>Figure 6.10</b>	(d) RO design window in the IMSDesign program. . . . .	177



<b>Figure 6.10</b>	(e) Calculation window in the IMSDesign program. . . . .	179
<b>Figure 6.10</b>	(f) Post-treatment window in the IMSDesign program. . . . .	180
<b>Figure 6.11</b>	Schematic flow diagram of a typical forward osmosis process. . . . .	181
<b>Figure 6.12</b>	Flow patterns in the spiral wound FO membrane element designed by Mehta. . . . .	183
<b>Figure 6.13</b>	Schematic of several ED cell pairs. “A” stands for anion-selective membrane, while “C” stands for cation-selective membrane. Channels 2, 4, and 6 are channels containing desalinated water. Channel 1, 3, and 5 are channels which contain the concentrate. As water flows through, the cations (such as sodium) will be pulled towards the cathode, yet stopped by the anion-selective membranes. Simultaneously, the anions (such as chloride) will be pulled towards the anode, yet stopped by the cation-selective membranes. . . . .	189
<b>Figure 6.14</b>	Example schematic of a three-stage, two-line ED/EDR system. . . . .	191
<b>Figure 6.15</b>	Two typical geometries of the spacers used in ED and EDR systems. . . . .	194
<b>Figure 7.1</b>	Typical schematic of SWRO desalination plant. . .	205
<b>Figure 7.2</b>	SWRO desalination plant with two-stage pretreatment filtration. . . . .	206
<b>Figure 7.3</b>	Gravity granular media filters. . . . .	206
<b>Figure 7.4</b>	Pressure granular media filters. . . . .	207
<b>Figure 7.5</b>	Coagulation process. . . . .	208
<b>Figure 7.6</b>	Flocculation process. . . . .	208
<b>Figure 7.7</b>	Typical membrane pretreatment system. . . . .	209
<b>Figure 7.8</b>	General schematic of the DAF clarifier. . . . .	212
<b>Figure 7.9</b>	Typical configuration of granular filter media. . . .	215
<b>Figure 7.10</b>	The Kwinana desalination plant in Perth, Australia. . . . .	218
<b>Figure 7.11</b>	The Carboneras SWRO plant in Spain. . . . .	219
<b>Figure 7.12</b>	Schematic of SWRO plant with membrane pretreatment. . . . .	223

<b>Figure 8.1</b>	A typical limestone (calcite) contactor flow diagram. . . . .	244
<b>Figure 8.2</b>	The DrinTec™ upflow limestone (calcite) contactor with automatic feeding. . . . .	248
<b>Figure 9.1</b>	Depiction of distillation with sponges. . . . .	266
<b>Figure 9.2</b>	Distillation after Le Febure. . . . .	268
<b>Figure 9.3</b>	The Tennant two-effect still. . . . .	272
<b>Figure 9.4</b>	The 1862 Normandy unit as discovered in 1977. . . . .	273
<b>Figure 9.5</b>	The Mirlees–Watson unit at Suokim. . . . .	275
<b>Figure 9.6</b>	The Lillie horizontal tube spray-film evaporator. . . . .	276
<b>Figure 9.7</b>	The wood-burning still at Coolgardie. . . . .	276
<b>Figure 9.8</b>	The Prache & Bouillon TVC design. . . . .	278
<b>Figure 9.9</b>	A completed 200 m <sup>3</sup> /day Weir unit installed at Aruba. . . . .	279
<b>Figure 9.10</b>	A 2,000 m <sup>3</sup> /day MED unit on Aruba. . . . .	283
<b>Figure 9.11</b>	Commemorative postage stamp showing multi-effect units. . . . .	283
<b>Figure 9.12</b>	The 1963 Richardsons Westgarth MSF on Curacao. . . . .	286
<b>Figure 9.13</b>	The original (1963) Weir unit on Bonaire. . . . .	286
<b>Figure 9.14</b>	The 1965 Aqua Chem unit on Aruba. . . . .	287
<b>Figure 9.15</b>	The first spiral element (1964). . . . .	288
<b>Figure 10.1</b>	The relationship between the number of researchers per one thousand employees and the gross domestic expenditures on R&D as a percentage of GDP. . . . .	296
<b>Figure 10.2</b>	Higher risks usually entail the possibility of higher returns in case of success. The bars show the range of annual returns of each asset mix over the period of January 1926 to December 2015. . . . .	298
<b>Figure 10.3</b>	With time, desalination and water reuse have become cheaper options than conventional water supply. Prices depend on various factors. With current global conditions, water reuse is almost always cheaper. Graph courtesy of GE Water & Process Technologies. . . . .	300
<b>Figure 10.4</b>	There are often various options, from which the more appropriate one should be chosen. . . . .	302

<b>Figure 10.5</b>	A typical membrane “goodness curve” illustrating the trade-off between salt rejection and flow for a series of membrane types identified by their application. . . . .	304
<b>Figure 10.6</b>	The process of innovation and project selection. . .	305
<b>Figure 10.7</b>	Focus of the R&D team as innovation proceeds. . .	305
<b>Figure 10.8</b>	A report output from Winflows, a membrane system design software by GE Water & Process Technologies. . . . .	311
<b>Figure 10.9</b>	Good models can help elucidate impacts on responses. Data courtesy of GE Water & Process Technologies. . . . .	313
<b>Figure 10.10</b>	The Project Management Triangle also known as the “Triple Constraint”. It is extremely unlikely (if not impossible) to have a project that is carried out with low cost, is done quickly, and has high quality.	315
<b>Figure 10.11</b>	A general breakdown of costs from concept to commercialization. . . . .	318
<b>Figure 11.1</b>	Typical asymmetric membrane production processes via (a) non-solvent-induced phase separation and (b) interfacial polymerization. . . . .	327
<b>Figure 11.2</b>	Thin film composite RO/NF membrane comprising three or more layers. . . . .	329
<b>Figure 11.3</b>	Selected ion exchange membrane materials. . . . .	335
<b>Figure 11.4</b>	Membrane geometries including (a) hollow fiber, (b) tubular, (c) multi-capillary fibers (with inset illustrating asymmetric surface structure), and (d) capillary sheet. . . . .	338
<b>Figure 11.5</b>	Dead-end flow versus cross flow membrane operation. . . . .	340
<b>Figure 11.6</b>	Illustration of typical flow paths used in (a) membrane-testing systems and (b) electrodialysis (ED), and photograph of assembled P&F unit (stack of only two sets of coupons). . . . .	342
<b>Figure 11.7</b>	Examples of spiral wound modules used for reverse osmosis and forward osmosis. . . . .	344
<b>Figure 11.8</b>	Hollow fiber module embodiments. . . . .	346
<b>Figure 12.1</b>	Categorization of desalination processes. . . . .	356

<b>Figure 12.2</b>	<p>Concentration gradients in RO (a) and active (b) desalination membranes. The energy levels marked with ‘start’ and ‘end’ correspond to the evolution of each process. The darker blue color denotes higher concentration. Insets depict different mechanisms of salt ion separation. The active process with energy input shows a conceptual strategy for overcoming the Born barrier with fixed charges. Reproduced from Reference with permission from Nature Publishing Group. . . . .</p>	359
<b>Figure 12.3</b>	<p>Crystal lattice of graphene. . . . .</p>	360
<b>Figure 12.4</b>	<p>High pressure applied to the salt water (<i>left</i>) drives water molecules (red and white) across the graphene membrane (<i>right</i>), while salt ions (spheres) are blocked. Chemical functionalization of the pores with hydrogen (white) increases water selectivity, whereas functionalization with hydroxyl groups (not shown) increases the speed of water transport. . . . .</p>	361
<b>Figure 12.5</b>	<p>Process to create controlled pores in graphene membranes. Controlled subnanometer pores in graphene are created by ion bombardment followed by chemical oxidation. Ion bombardment generates reactive defect sites in the graphene lattice that preferentially etch during exposure to acidic potassium permanganate etchant. Reprinted with permission from Reference. Copyright (2014) American Chemical Society. . . . .</p>	361
<b>Figure 12.6</b>	<p>Graphene oxide structure. . . . .</p>	362
<b>Figure 12.7</b>	<p>A correlation between rheological properties and the key prerequisites for various manufacturing techniques have enabled us to process and fabricate GO via a wide range of industrial techniques. . . .</p>	363
<b>Figure 12.8</b>	<p>Proposed strategies for the evolution of structure in different coagulation baths from highly dense (left) to highly porous architectures (right). . . . .</p>	364
<b>Figure 12.9</b>	<p>Desalination by the pervaporation process as water passes through a dense pervaporation membrane. . .</p>	368

<b>Figure 12.10</b>	A typical scheme of a microbial desalination cell (MDC). AEM: anion exchange membrane; CEM: cation exchange membrane. . . . .	371
<b>Figure 12.11</b>	Stack structure microbial desalination cell (MDC). . . . .	372
<b>Figure 12.12</b>	Recirculation microbial desalination cell (r-MDC). . . . .	373
<b>Figure 13.1</b>	Desalination technologies coupled with renewable energy and storage systems (PV-Thermal, photovoltaic thermal; MED, multi-effect distillation; MSF, multi-stage flash distillation; HD-DHD, humidification-dehumidification; MD, membrane distillation; ADS-DES, adsorption desalination; TVC, thermal vapor compression; ED, electrodialysis; RO, reverse osmosis. . . . .	386
<b>Figure 13.2</b>	Renewable energy utilization for desalination by relative share of all renewable energy driven desalination units around the world (data taken from). It should be noted that overall, all renewable energy driven desalination units account for a tiny percentage of the total world desalination capacity. . . . .	387
<b>Figure 13.3</b>	Comparison of different renewable-energy-driven desalination technologies. . . . .	388
<b>Figure 13.4</b>	A conventional solar still. . . . .	391
<b>Figure 13.5</b>	Solar energy driven desalination systems: (a) multi-stage flash desalination system and (b) multi-effect distillation system. . . . .	392
<b>Figure 13.6</b>	Solar PV-powered RO desalination system. . . . .	394
<b>Figure 13.7</b>	Wind powered RO desalination system. . . . .	396
<b>Figure 13.8</b>	Wind-powered MVC desalination system. . . . .	397
<b>Figure 13.9</b>	Geothermal energy applications in desalination. . .	399
<b>Figure 13.10</b>	Examples of wave energy applications in desalination Aquamarine Power and the oyster wave energy harvesting system. . . . .	402
<b>Figure 13.11</b>	High-temperature gas nuclear reactor for power production combined with desalination and hydrogen production. . . . .	405

<b>Figure 14.1</b>	Theoretical minimum SEC for desalination as a function of percent recovery for common waters: 25 g/L is typical of the less saline open waters (e.g., from the Tampa Bay, Florida estuary), 35 g/L is typical for seawater, and 45 g/L is characteristic of the Persian Gulf. Minimum energies for recoveries between 45 and 55%, the range in which modern SWRO plants operate, are highlighted. . . . .	419
<b>Figure 14.2</b>	The change in energy consumption for the RO unit in SWRO plants from the 1970s to 2008. The horizontal dashed line corresponds to the theoretical minimum energy required for desalination of 35 g/L seawater at 50% recovery (1.06 kWh/m <sup>3</sup> ). The data is only for the desalination unit process, and excludes energy required for intake, pretreatment, post-treatment, brine discharge, etc.. . . . .	421
<b>Figure 14.3</b>	Specific energy consumption (SEC) break down of a typical RO operating unit. . . . .	424
<b>Figure 14.4</b>	Simple schematic of energy recovery with energy recovery turbine and pump (based on Pelton wheel technology). . . . .	425
<b>Figure 14.5</b>	Reverse osmosis process diagram with energy recovery device (ERD). . . . .	426
<b>Figure 14.6</b>	A conceptual design of third generation desalination scheme. . . . .	430
<b>Figure 14.7</b>	Distribution of renewable energy powered desalination technologies. . . . .	440
<b>Figure 15.1</b>	Brine/concentrate concentration factor as a function of recovery and rejection. . . . .	454
<b>Figure 15.2</b>	Secondary (two-stage) RO system with intermediate softening. . . . .	459
<b>Figure 15.3</b>	Schematic of the EDM process. . . . .	461
<b>Figure 15.4</b>	ZLD approach combining RO, ED, crystallization and WAIV. . . . .	463
<b>Figure 15.5</b>	Schematic of membrane distillation. . . . .	464
<b>Figure 15.6</b>	Common crystallizer configurations: (a) fluidized-bed crystallizer, (b) forced-circulation crystallizer. .	466

<b>Figure 15.7</b>	Reverse osmosis brine management schemes evaluated for the Eastern Municipal Water District (EMWD) in California. . . . .	469
<b>Figure 15.8</b>	Proposed process for extraction of bromine, salt and magnesium from RO brine. . . . .	476
<b>Figure 16.1</b>	Different kinds of beach wells. . . . .	495
<b>Figure 16.2</b>	Chlorine toxicity levels for a range of marine species. . . . .	507
<b>Figure 16.3</b>	Copper toxicity levels for a range of marine species. . . . .	510
<b>Figure 16.4</b>	LCA methodology used to assess the potential impacts of desalination. . . . .	518
<b>Figure 16.5</b>	The Netherlands as a city of the future situation; the salty delta with abundant fresh surface and groundwater resources, and measures taken in response to salinization, climate change and sea level rise. . . . .	525
<b>Figure 16.6</b>	The schematic on the left presents a well field at serious risk of upconing of brackish groundwater (salinization) due to over-exploitation. The schematic on the right presents the solution: the Dutch Fresh Keeper concept. . . . .	525
<b>Figure 17.1</b>	Schematic of effective factors on success of rural desalination systems. . . . .	534
<b>Figure 17.2</b>	Energy source considerations for rural desalination plants. . . . .	536
<b>Figure 17.3</b>	Indian women in rural areas spend a significant part of the day for providing water for the family. . . . .	546
<b>Figure 17.4</b>	Women carry heavy water containers. . . . .	547
<b>Figure 17.5</b>	The photovoltaic reverse osmosis desalination system in Ksar Ghilène. . . . .	552
<b>Figure 19.1</b>	Capital cost breakdown for all desalination plants around the world in 2007 and 2016. . . . .	580
<b>Figure 19.2</b>	Global capital expenditures by desalination technology (2007–2016). . . . .	581
<b>Figure 19.3</b>	Breakdown of global desalination operating expenditures in 2010 (in billion US\$). . . . .	581
<b>Figure 19.4</b>	Logic tree for determining the unit price of desalinated water. . . . .	586

<b>Figure 20.1</b>	Desalination capacity history and forecast, 2000–2030. . . . .	598
<b>Figure 20.2</b>	Online desalination capacity by region, 2015. . . . .	600
<b>Figure 20.3</b>	Regional online capacity by main technology type, 2015. . . . .	601
<b>Figure 20.4</b>	Top 20 desalination plant suppliers, 2005–2015. . . . .	601
<b>Figure 20.5</b>	Global annual desalination capacity by delivery model, 1990–2013. . . . .	602
<b>Figure 20.6</b>	Top 20 countries by desalination capacity, 2016. . . . .	603
<b>Figure 20.7</b>	Desalination lifetime cost breakdown. . . . .	608
<b>Figure 20.8</b>	Capital expenditure on dissolved solids removal technology. . . . .	610
<b>Figure 20.9</b>	Key players in the dissolved solids technology market. . . . .	612
<b>Figure 20.10</b>	Desalination capex and opex by region, 2015–2020. . . . .	613
<b>Figure 20.11</b>	Desalination capex by source (public/private). . . . .	614



---

## List of Tables

---

<b>Table 1.1</b>	Estimate of global water distribution . . . . .	5
<b>Table 2.1</b>	Technologies applied in water reuse . . . . .	34
<b>Table 4.1</b>	Various guidelines for defining “hard” water . . . . .	79
<b>Table 4.2</b>	The interpretation of the SAR values from two different references. Since there are many other indicators for a water’s suitability for agricultural purposes such as the Adjusted SAR, Residual Sodium Carbonate, Permeability Index, Kelly’s Ratio, etc. it is wiser to interpret the SAR value alongside other indicators . . . . .	80
<b>Table 4.3</b>	The various types of corrosion. . . . .	83
<b>Table 5.1</b>	Comparison between cross-tube and long-tube MSF plant parameters, for a unit producing 1000 cubic meters of freshwater per hour . . . . .	109
<b>Table 5.2</b>	Chemical cleaning agents . . . . .	134
<b>Table 5.3</b>	Typical power-to-water ratios for dual MSF desalination plants . . . . .	136
<b>Table 5.4</b>	Evaporator tube information in Al-Jobail II MSF plant . . . . .	137
<b>Table 5.5</b>	Operation design parameters of the Al-Jobail II MSF plant . . . . .	138
<b>Table 5.6</b>	Yanbu Project important design parameters . . . . .	139
<b>Table 5.7</b>	Concentration of main gases dissolved in seawater . . . . .	140
<b>Table 5.8</b>	Typical maintenance outline for an MSF plant . . . . .	146
<b>Table 6.1</b>	Comparison of CA and PA membranes . . . . .	159
<b>Table 7.1</b>	Seawater quality characterization for pretreatment . . . . .	203
<b>Table 7.2</b>	Examples of desalination plants with granular media pretreatment . . . . .	222

1 *List of Tables*

<b>Table 7.3</b>	Large SWRO plants with membrane pretreatment . .	231
<b>Table 7.4</b>	UF/MF membrane system survey: lessons learned from ten US utilities . . . . .	232
<b>Table 8.1</b>	Concentration of chemical constituents in the intake and permeate of NVCO's single-pass seawater reverse osmosis plant in Asaluyeh, Persian Gulf, Iran . . . . .	238
<b>Table 8.2</b>	Suggested quality goals for desalinated water after post-treatment adopted from Duranceau et al. with additions and modifications . . . . .	240
<b>Table 8.3</b>	Lime dosing system parameters . . . . .	244
<b>Table 8.4</b>	The comparison of using H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> instead of CO <sub>2</sub> in limestone contactors . . . . .	247
<b>Table 8.5</b>	Comparison of various disinfection methods . . . .	254
<b>Table 10.1</b>	Top 20 R&D spenders of 2016 . . . . .	296
<b>Table 10.2</b>	How much does a patent cost over 20 years? . . . .	306
<b>Table 10.3</b>	Patents versus trade secrets . . . . .	308
<b>Table 12.1</b>	Assessment of new desalination technologies by CDR rating . . . . .	374
<b>Table 13.1</b>	Potential solar collector applications for desalination and power production . . . . .	389
<b>Table 13.2</b>	Advantages and limitations of indirect solar desalination systems (non-membrane processes) . .	393
<b>Table 13.3</b>	The advantages and disadvantages of Solar PV powered RO . . . . .	395
<b>Table 13.4</b>	Advantages and consideration for nuclear powered MSF and RO processes . . . . .	406
<b>Table 13.5</b>	Examples of some nuclear desalination plants around the world . . . . .	407
<b>Table 14.1</b>	Salt concentration of different water sources . . . .	418
<b>Table 14.2</b>	Range of energy demand for various desalination technologies . . . . .	423
<b>Table 14.3</b>	Energy recovery turbines vs. isobaric energy recovery devices: a general comparison . . . . .	427
<b>Table 14.4</b>	Possible combinations of renewable energy with desalination technologies . . . . .	440
<b>Table 15.1</b>	Example seawater reverse osmosis brine measured water quality ( <i>n</i> = 168 with exception of bromide and fluoride) . . . . .	455

<b>Table 15.2</b>	Major ion composition of brackish groundwater . . .	457
<b>Table 15.3</b>	Wastewater reverse osmosis concentrate measured water quality at two waste- water reclamation facilities . . . . .	458
<b>Table 15.4</b>	Economic and energy analysis results for alternative brine volume reduction options . . . . .	470
<b>Table 16.1</b>	Typical effluent properties of reverse osmosis (RO) and multi-stage flash (MSF) seawater desalination plants . . . . .	498
<b>Table 16.2</b>	Effluent properties of SWRO, MSF and MED plants with conventional process design: physical parameters . . . . .	500
<b>Table 16.3</b>	Effluent properties of SWRO, MSF and MED plants with conventional process design: chemical parameters . . . . .	502
<b>Table 16.4</b>	Airborne emissions for MSF and MED and different integration arrangement of RO . . . . .	520
<b>Table 16.5</b>	Overall scores for MSF and MED and different integration arrangement of RO, evaluated with different indicators: Eco-Indicator 99, Eco-Points 97, and the CML2 baseline 2000 . . . . .	521
<b>Table 16.6</b>	Environmental impacts of RO and MSF effluents . .	522
<b>Table 16.7</b>	Potential harmfulness of major pollutants in MSF and RO effluents . . . . .	522
<b>Table 18.1</b>	A good should be managed according to its nature (rival goods are consumed in use) and accessibility (people can be prevented from using excludable goods) . . . . .	560
<b>Table 19.1</b>	Global capital expenditures breakdown (all desalination) in 2007–2016 . . . . .	579
<b>Table 19.2</b>	Global operating cost breakdown (all desalination) in 2007–2016 . . . . .	582
<b>Table 19.3</b>	Costs of desalinated water by different desalination technologies subject to changes in production capacities (these values are not universal, and should be considered as generalized) . . . . .	590
<b>Table 20.1</b>	The world’s 20 largest operating desalination plants . . . . .	605

iii *List of Tables*

<b>Table 20.2</b>	The world's largest individual desalination projects, by technology and year commissioned . . . . .	609
<b>Table 20.3</b>	PPP responsibility breakdown . . . . .	614
<b>Table 20.4</b>	Global desalination products and services revenue forecast 2010–2020 . . . . .	617

---

## List of Abbreviations

---

AD	Adsorption Desalination
AGMD	Air Gap Membrane Distillation
AM	anion exchange membrane
AWWA	American Water Works Association
BOO	Build Own Operation
BOT	Build-Operate-Transfer
BW	Brackish Water
BWRO	Brackish water reverse osmosis
CA	Cellulose Acetate
CAPEX	Capital Expenditures
CCD	Closed-Circuit Desalination
CDI	Capacitive Deionization
CDR	Coefficient of Desalination Reality
CEM	Cation Exchange Membrane
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics
CG	Cogeneration
CHP	Combined-Heat and Power
CIP	Clean In Place
CM	cation exchange membrane
CNT	Carbon Nanotubes
CP	Concentration Polarization
CTA	Cellulose Triacetate
Da	Daltons
DAF	Dissolved air flotation
DAFF	Dissolved air flotation and filtration
DB	Design-Build
DBB	Design-Bid-Build
DBO	Design-Build-Operate
DCMD	Direct Contact Membrane Distillation
ED	Electrodialysis
ED(R)	Electrodialysis (Reversal)

EDI	Electrodeionization
EDL	Electrostatic Double Layer
EDR	Electrodialysis Reversal
EEA	European Environment Agency
ERD(s)	Energy Recovery Device(s)
ERTs	Energy Recovery Turbines
FO	Forward Osmosis
GAC	Granular activated carbon
GCC	Gulf Cooperation Council
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
GO	Graphene Oxide
HPP	High Pressure Pump
HTI	Hydration Technologies Inc.
IEM	Ion-Exchange Membrane
kDA	kiloDaltons
LC	Liquid Crystal
LEED	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design
LSI	Langelier Saturation Index
(M)CDI	(Membrane) Capacitive Deionization
MD	Membrane Distillation
MDC	Microbial Desalination Cells
MED	Multi-Effect Distillation
MEMD	Multi-Effect Membrane Distillation
MENA	Middle East and North Africa
MF	Microfiltration
MGD	Million Gallons per Day
MGMD	Material Gap Membrane Distillation
MPD	m-phenylenediamine
MSF	Multi-Stage Flash
MVC	Mechanical Vapor Compression
MVD	Mechanical Vapor Distillation
MWCO	Molecular Weight Cut Off
Na-EDTA	Sodium Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
NDP	Net Driving Pressure
NF	Nanofiltration
NIPS	Non-solvent induced phase separation
NOM	Natural organic matter
NTU	Nephelometric turbidity units
O&M	Operational & Maintenance

OPEX	Operational & Maintenance Expenditures
P&F	Plate and frame
PA	Polyamide
PD	Positive Displacement
PEEK	poly(ether ether ketone)
PEI	polyethylene imine
PGMD	Permeate Gap Membrane Distillation
PRO	Pressure Retarded Osmosis
PSI	Pond per square inch
PTFE	polytetrafluoroethylene
PV	Photovoltaic
PVDF	polyvinylidene fluoride
PWR	Power to Water Ratio
RO	Reverse Osmosis
SDI	Silt Density Index
SEC	Specific Energy Consumption
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SGMD	Sweep Gas Membrane Distillation
STPP	Sodium Tripolyphosphate
SW	Seawater
SWRO	Seawater Reverse Osmosis
TBT	Top Brine Temperature
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
TFC	Thin Film Composite
TMC	trimesoyl chloride
TMP	Trans-membrane Pressure
TOC	Total organic carbon
TSS	Total suspended solids
TVC	Thermal Vapor Compression
UF	Ultrafiltration
VC	Vapor Compression
VMD	Vacuum Membrane Distillation
V-MEMD	Vacuum-Multi-Effect Membrane Distillation
WDR	Water Desalination Report
WWTP	Waste Water Treatment Plant

